

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,198	03/10/2004	John Burwell	2549-114-27	2440
7590 06/15/2006			EXAMINER	
PIPER RUDNICK LLP			MAYO, TARA L	
Supervisor, Patent Prosecution Services 1200 Nineteenth Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036-2412			3671	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/796,198	BURWELL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tara L. Mayo	3671		

	Tara L. Mayo	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05/18/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	VANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply ong	36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL		te of the final rejection, e	even it timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (a) They are not described to the first the first test to the first test test to the first test test test to the first test test test test test test test t	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present of			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wiided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See the attached Examiner's Comments. 			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

EXAMINER'S COMMENTS

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 18 May 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's statement that all of the pending claims positively recite "a monitoring liquid reservoir in liquid communication with the annular space," the Examiner contends that "in liquid communication" is not a positive recitation of a liquid. Therefore, for the purposes of prosecution on the merits, only claim 10 positively recites a liquid (i.e., brine).

In response to Applicant's statement that Manger et al. '797 suggests the use of gases for detecting leaks by measuring the pressurization or depressurization, the Examiner contends that the use of liquids is not precluded in such a monitoring system because pressurization and depressurization are not limited to gases.

In response to Applicant's statement that the use of a vacuum as taught by Manger et al. '797 implies the interstitial fluid "can only be a gas," the Examiner contends that a vacuum is merely suction created by a region of low pressure and is applicable to gases and liquids.

In response to Applicant's statements regarding Flessas, the Examiner notes that this reference was not relied upon in the Final Rejection and, therefore, any arguments relating to the same are irrelevant.

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 571-272-6992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

06 June 2006

Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600